

REMARKS

In the Restriction Requirement the Examiner requests restriction of the claims to one of the following alleged inventions:

Group I – Claims 1-19, 22 and 23; and

Group II – Claims 20-21 and 24-25.

Election is made herein of Group I, claims 1-19 22, and 23 for further prosecution on the merits without traverse.

The Examiner notes that these two inventions are related as combination and sub-combination. That is, the client management device generally requires utilizes data generating device, but allegedly does not require each and every element of the sub-combination (the data generating device). As and example, the Examiner alleges that claim 21 does not require all the elements of claim 1.

The Examiner is reminded that when the broad claim is found allowable, then any of the claims in Group II which include all the features of that claim should be allowed therewith.

Any fee due with this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-1290.

Respectfully submitted,

/Nathan Weber/
Nathan Weber
Reg. No. 50,958

CUSTOMER NUMBER 026304
FUJY 20.944 (100794-00552)
Ph: 212.940.8564